

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFI RMATION NO. 027/43042 3122 HERBERT DAMSOHN 08/743,002 11/01/1996 EXAMINER 7590 07/12/2004 CROWELL & MORING LLP LEO, LEONARD R INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 3753

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	08/743,002	DAMSOHN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leonard R. Leo	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	t. t.136(a). In no event, however, may a lepty within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23     2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th     3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 22,31 and 38 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22,31 and 38 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a subject	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)   Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3753

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 23, 2004 has been entered.

Claims 22, 31 and 38 are pending.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 22, 31 and 38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,835 in view of Kim, and further in view of Brzezinski or Scala.

The patent claims a plurality of rectangular tubes formed by half shells for exhaust gas flow; a plurality of internal V-shaped lugs arranged in pairs; a jacket (i.e. sheet metal, column 3) provided with a coolant inlet and outlet; but does not claim latticed tube bottoms and directly attaching the lugs.

Kim (Figures 2-3) discloses a tube and shell heat exchanger comprising a plurality of tubes 1 welded to preformed latticed tube bottoms 2 for the purpose of providing a strong fluid tight manifold.

Brzezinski discloses a heat exchanger comprising a tube 1 having opposed walls 8, 9 and a turbulating insert 5 with lugs 15 thereon; wherein the prior art welded the lugs directly to the tube walls (column 1, lines 57-64) for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger.

Scala discloses a heat exchanger comprising a tube 10 having opposed walls 20, 24 and turbulating lugs 25-27 welded thereon for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in the patent welded latticed tube bottoms for the purpose of providing strong fluid tight manifolds as recognized by Kim, *and* welded lugs for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger as recognized by Brzezinski or Scala.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3753

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 22, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karbach et al in view of Brzezinski and Kim.

Karbach et al discloses all the claimed limitations except lugs directly attached to the tube walls and latticed tube bottoms.

Brzezinski discloses a heat exchanger comprising a tube 1 having opposed walls 8, 9 and a turbulating insert 5 with lugs 15 thereon; wherein the prior art welded the lugs directly to the tube walls (column 1, lines 57-64) for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger.

Kim discloses a tube and shell heat exchanger comprising a plurality of tubes 1 welded to preformed latticed tube bottom 2 for the purpose of providing a strong fluid tight manifold.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Karbach et al welded lugs for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger as recognized by Brzezinski, *and* latticed tube bottoms receiving a plurality of tubes for the purpose of providing a fluid tight manifold as recognized by Kim. In the combination, Karbach et al discloses insert 12 (Figure 1a and 2-3) providing upper and lower lugs 21, 22 on opposite tube walls 13. The modification as taught by Brzezinski would "directly attach" the lugs on opposite tube wall, in order to not to destroy the primary reference of Karbach et al.

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 22, 31 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karbach et al in view of Scala and Kim.

Karbach et al discloses all the claimed limitations except lugs directly attached to the tube walls and latticed tube bottoms.

Scala discloses a heat exchanger comprising a tube 10 having opposed walls 20, 24 and turbulating lugs 25-27 welded thereon for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger.

Kim discloses a tube and shell heat exchanger comprising a plurality of tubes 1 having spacing elements 3 and welded to preformed latticed tube bottom 2 for the purpose of providing a strong fluid tight manifold.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Karbach et al welded lugs for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger as recognized by Scala, *and* latticed tube bottoms receiving a plurality of tubes for the purpose of providing a fluid tight manifold as recognized by Kim. In the combination, Karbach et al discloses insert 12 (Figure 1a and 2-3) providing upper and lower lugs 21, 22 on opposite tube walls 13. The modification as taught by Scala would directly attach the lugs on opposite tube wall, in order to not to destroy the primary reference of Karbach et al.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 3753

The obvious double patenting rejection is maintained. Although the Damsohn et al patent claims a device, the method claims of the instant application are believed met. The instant method claims merely recite generalized method steps, such as:

- providing a plurality of tube halves;
- <u>arranging</u> a plurality of lugs on said tube halves in pairs by <u>directly attaching</u>;
- joining pairs of said tube halves together to form rectangular tubes;
- providing first and second latticed tube bottoms;
- welding ends of said rectangular tube to said latticed tube bottoms;
- attaching a sheet metal jacket provided with a coolant inlet and outlet; and
- <u>attaching</u> connections to said tube bottoms, to ends of said sheet metal jacket, or to both.

The claims of the Damsohn et al patent recite:

- rectangular tubes comprising two U-shaped half shells, each having bottoms with lugs arranged in pairs and diverge in the flow direction in a V-shape, wherein the half shells are provided, pairs of lugs are arranged thereon, and rectangular tubes are formed by joining the tube halves;
- a jacket surrounding the bundle (sheet metal by disclosure) with a coolant inlet and outlet, wherein the sheet metal jacket is <u>attached</u>; and
- connections being attached to the jacket (by disclosure), wherein a connection facilitating the gas flow is <u>attached</u>.

The specific method step of "welding ends of said rectangular tubes to said latticed tube bottoms" in claim 22 is met by the secondary reference of Kim. As noted above, Kim discloses

Art Unit: 3753

*providing* preformed lattice tube bottoms 2 and *welding* tubes 1 therein for the purpose of providing a strong fluid tight manifold. Further, Kim discloses a tube and shell heat exchanger inherently having connections *attached* to the tube bottom, jacket, or both.

The specific method step of "directly attaching the lugs" in claim 22 and "the lugs are welded" in claim 31 are met by the secondary reference of Brzezinski or Scala. As noted above, Brzezinski or Scala discloses *welding* lugs for the purpose of minimizing material and weight of the heat exchanger.

The rejection under 35 USC 103 is believed correct for lack of any specific arguments with respect to the applied prior art. As noted above with respect to the obvious double patenting rejection, the structure in the device of the combination of Karbach et al, Kim and Brzezinski or Scala is believed assembled in same general method steps as in the instant claimed invention. The secondary reference of Kim teaches the specific welding step of the tubes to the latticed bottom. The secondary reference of Brzezinski or Scala teaches the directly attaching the lugs, specifically welding of the lugs to the inner surface of the tubes as in claim 31.

The Examiner understands applicants' intent to define the method of manufacturing claims over the prior art product. However, the general method steps are believed met by the primary reference of the Damsohn et al or Karbach et al. In the instances of specific method steps, the secondary references of Kim, Brzezinski and Scala are believed to teach welding the various structures.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature (i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Status of the application may also be obtained from the Internet: <a href="http://pair.uspto.gov/cgibin/final/home.pl">http://pair.uspto.gov/cgibin/final/home.pl</a>

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3753

July 11, 2004